Date: Wed, 5 May 93 11:26:53 PDT

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #540

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Wed, 5 May 93 Volume 93 : Issue 540

Today's Topics:

Comm'l tower erection?

March/Rally/Prayer for Bosnian peace
no-code defense (3 msgs)
no-code stuff
Possible to parallel x-formers??
Ramsey Addr?
Spread Spectrum use?
Still 8 weeks for license
The Obvious (2 msgs)
Zed in callsign:what is it, where come from?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 5 May 93 00:18:30 GMT

From: sdd.hp.com!think.com!yale.edu!cs.yale.edu!ewing@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: Comm'l tower erection?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I am considering putting up a substantial tower system (70-90 ft crankup), but at this stage in life money is more available than personal horsepower. We also worry about liability insurance when we get the traditional group of buddies together in exchange for beer.

I wonder if anyone has tried to contract with communications service folk (or other contractor types) for this kind of project? What are

the pros & cons?

The QTH is Guilford CT, near New Haven, if anyone has local suggestions.

73 & thanks! (e-mail replies appreciated to address below.)

- -

Martin Ewing AA6E ewing-martin@yale.edu (ewing@yalevm.bitnet)
Yale University Science & Engineering Computing Facility 203-432-4243

Date: 4 May 93 23:16:31 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!news.cso.uiuc.edu!mrluxb.mrl.uiuc.edu!

tomory@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: March/Rally/Prayer for Bosnian peace

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

GENERAL ANNOUNCEMENT:

March - Rally - Prayer Vigil - Letter Signing for the cause of Peace in the former Yugoslavia

Join the Champaign-Urbana community in a non-politically-aligned march, rally, and ecumenical prayer vigil for the cause of peace in the former Yugoslavia. Citizens in this central Illinois prairie community will gather at a giant rally Saturday May 8 to sign a letter to the leaders of Serbia to end war and genocide and commit themselves fully to a working peace in the former Yugoslavia.

The letter, to be signed at a grassroots rally and march, will be delivered personally to President Slobodan Milosevic and Radovan Karadzic, the leader of the Bosnian Serb forces.

Champaign-Urbana is the home of the University of Illinois. Rally leader Jean Stoia said she expects a large turnout of both town and gown. ``We're tired of seeing bloody children on television,'' Stoia said. ``Even if a peace arrangement is agreed to, we think Yugoslavia's leaders need to have their feet held to the fire to stick to the deal.''

The march, which begins at 1 pm (CDT), is being planned as a non-political event. Leaders stress that the demonstration is not aimed at recommending specific solutions or actions in Bosnia. Its point is to demonstrate that American citizens want to take a stand against the killing, the repeated violations of ceasefire agreements,

and the genocide of ethnic cleansing.

``Two world wars started because citizens were complacent about similar events in Europe,'' Stoia said. ``They paid no attention to the concentration camps either, and we got the Holocaust. It's time to start learning from history. If not now, when?''

The rally events will include a march through the city to a rally in a major park and church. The event will include music by local musicians, and speakers representing concerned ethnic and religious groups.

WHEN: 1 pm, Saturday, May 8, 1993, rain or shine (bring an umbrella just in case).

WHERE: Scott Park, corner of Second St. and Springfield Ave. in Champaign, Illinois (near Burnham City Hospital). Parking will be available in the Burnham Hospital parking lot. If you're coming from out of town, you can take I-74 to Neil Street; exit southbound and proceed to Springfield Avenue; turn left onto Springfield and go a couple of blocks until you see the park. The demonstration will begin at the southwest corner of the park (Second and Healey).

WHO: The Champaign-Urbana Coalition for Sanity in Bosnia, a grassroots group of concerned citizens -- townspeople, students, children from many area schools, and anyone else who cares enough about this issue to take a stand.

FOR MORE INFO,

CONTACT: Jean Stoia, (217) 367-3537 Susan Surbaugh, (217) 355-0824

Please forgive me for overposting; I wanted to get the word out.

Mark Tomory tomory@uiuc.edu
University of Illinois h: (217) 384-0634

Urbana, IL 61801 USA

Date: 4 May 93 19:54:35 GMT

From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!darwin.sura.net!

sgiblab!bridge2!zen.DEV.3Com.COM!joer@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: no-code defense To: info-hams@ucsd.edu jpotts@hpspdla.spd.HP.COM (John Potts) writes:

>Is this topic still around. For pro-code and no-code fans I have one >thing to say

> GET A LIFE !!!

I couldn't agree MORE! Here it is, TWO YEARS LATER, and you lot are still debating this wretched no-code license as if it were still up for debate. I'm sorry to sound so inflammatory, but untold, unknown, incalculable bandwidth has been irrevocably wasted on this debate, while meanwhile people everywhere are losing the right to erect antennas (nobody to debate this one), we are still in danger of losing spectrum space (nobody to debate this one), and our technical prowess is still lagging behind (nobody to debate this one). And I include myself in all the previous statements which are all IMHO. Let's do as John suggested and get on with life . . .

Just a thought & 73 de Joe, KC6TXU

Date: Mon, 3 May 1993 23:01:05 EST

From: anomaly.sbs.com!mooch!news@uunet.uu.net

Subject: no-code defense To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

n4hy@wahoo.ccr-p.ida.org (Bob McGwier) writes:

> Tony writes:
>

>>>And btw, if you happen to be a no-code and are offended by my >>>attitude... well there are two words for you....

>>>

>>>...-. ..- -.-. --- ..-. ..-.

>>>

> ^^^^

> I agree with Erich. What's worse is that Tony can't even get his code right!

```
> BMc
```

Actually you are mistaken. Tony did get the code correct originally. However someone is editing his posts to change a few things to discredit him. Whomever is responsible for this must feel rather insecure about their own feelings. Let Tony's arguments stand on their own two feet.

Date: Tue, 4 May 1993 18:16:31 GMT

From: agate!news.ucdavis.edu!othello.ucdavis.edu!ez006683@ames.arpa

Subject: no-code defense To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:

: bjstaff@zds-ux.UUCP (Brad Staff) writes:

:

: > I'm NH6IL and I'm against the no-code license.

: >I'm AA8IF and I'm in favor of the no-code license.

:

: I'm KD1HZ and I think no-codes are scumbags.

Is that no-coders or anyone who started out as a no-coder. Does that mean Tony strated out in radio a scumbag and has in some way evolved beyond scumbagginess?

```
Dan
```

- -

```
* Daniel D. Todd Packet: KC6UUD@WA6RDH.#nocal.ca.usa *

* Internet: DDTODD@ucdavis.edu *

* Snail Mail: 1750 Hanover #102 *

* Davis CA 95616 *

* I do not speak for the University of California.... *
```

```
* and it sure as hell doesn't speak for me!!
-----
Date: Tue, 4 May 1993 21:48:24 GMT
From: elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!sdd.hp.com!cs.utexas.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!
darwin.sura.net!bogus.sura.net!udel!gvls1!rossi@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: no-code stuff
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
In article <1s6j5iINNhim@emx.cc.utexas.edu> oo7@emx.cc.utexas.edu (Derek Wills)
>>> So, ladies and gentlemen, why don't we take an informal poll here on
>>> the net: give your callsign and state whether you support the no-code
>>> license or feel it was a bad idea. I'll start:
>>>
>>> I'm NH6IL and I'm against the no-code license.
>>I'm AA8IF and I'm in favor of the no-code license.
>
     I'm AA5BT and I'm bored with the whole discussion
Enough of this... I am finally forced to add:
/no-code/:j
to my KILL file. I have limited time to read rec.radio.amateur.misc
Who needs all this extra garbage.
For the record though, I am in favor of the no-code license as it stands
now. I am not in favor of eliminating/reducing the code requirement for HF.
______
Pete Rossi - WA3NNA
                                 rossi@VFL.Paramax.COM
Paramax Systems Corporation - a Unisys Company
Valley Forge Engineering Center - Paoli, Pennsylvania
______
______
Date: 4 May 93 20:00:16 GMT
From: sun-barr!news2me.EBay.Sun.COM!cronkite.Central.Sun.COM!texsun!
exucom.exu.ericsson.se!s12b04!exualan@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Possible to parallel x-formers??
```

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Fred, K4DII, answered some of my questions:
>the transformer with the highest output voltage will carry the
>load until its I-R drop brings it down to the voltage of the lesser
>winding.

This sounds close to the answer I wanted to hear. In addition, there is a good book on power supplies by Gottlieb (sp?) which proposes one solution called master-slave. This has a single error amp driving seperate pass transistors, the output of each is combined after the transistor.

Speculating again - since a very small, equal resistance in the emitter lead of the pass transistors tends to equalize the current, perhaps a purposly unequal resistance (like an extra .1 ohm) could be used to reduce the load to the smaller transformer.

- - -

Alan Malkiel, KE5JK

e-mail exualan@exu.ericsson.se

Date: 4 May 93 23:30:42 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!cs.utexas.edu!natinst.com!radian!philr@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Ramsey Addr? To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Does anyone know the current address of Ramsey Electronics?

I sent something to: Ramsey Electronics 2575 Baird Road Penfield, NY 14526

and it bounced with "Forward order expired."

Thanks in advance, Phil

Anything resembling an opinion is strictly coincidental.

Phil E. Riba, CCP, REM KA5PVH Staff Scientist Radian Corporation Austin, Texas philr@zippy.radian.com _____

Date: Tue, 4 May 1993 20:59:35 GMT

From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!cs.utexas.edu!csc.ti.com!tilde.csc.ti.com!

fstop.csc.ti.com!linnig@ames.arpa
Subject: Spread Spectrum use?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Folks,

I've been reading the Spectrum Spectrum Source Book and I must say I find the technology fascinating.

Is anyone reading who this doing spread spectrum work in the Ham bands? If so, how

about posting a note or two about what is happening with SS?

Thanks and 73,

Date: 4 May 93 14:56:02 GMT

From: news.bbn.com!levin@seismo.css.gov

Subject: Still 8 weeks for license

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Test: March 6
License issued: April 27
Licence received: May 3

(In district 1, ARRL VEC, for both my upgrade and my spouse's new N10YY)

=

Nets: levin@bbn.com | pots: (617)873-3463 |

3-3463 | "I gotta go." KD10N | -- I. Shoales

Date: 5 May 93 16:38:50 GMT From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu

Subject: The Obvious To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

> Here in California (hopefully elsewhere) HAMs with a "Z" in their >callsign sometimes say the (word?) "zed" instead of just plain "Z". I'm >wondering why, and where it came from, if anyone knows.

This is not a flame, just a suggestion. Look first in the dictionary for answers to questions like this...Cecil...KG7BK Date: Wed, 5 May 1993 16:05:54 GMT From: news.service.uci.edu!ttinews!avatar!sorgatz@network.UCSD.EDU Subject: The Obvious To: info-hams@ucsd.edu In article <930505083850_2@ccm.hf.intel.com> Cecil_A_Moore@ccm.hf.INTel.COM (Cecil A Moore) writes: >> Here in California (hopefully elsewhere) HAMs with a "Z" in their >>callsign sometimes say the (word?) "zed" instead of just plain "Z". I'm >>wondering why, and where it came from, if anyone knows. >This is not a flame, just a suggestion. Look first in the dictionary >for answers to questions like this...Cecil...KG7BK Careful Cec! Suggestions to RTFM, especially to someone at an .edu site, is almost grounds for a lawsuit! Might make you as unpopular as I've become...! ;-) 73! -Avatar-> (aka: Erik K. Sorgatz) KB6LUY TTI(sorgatz@soldev.tti.com)sorgatz@avatar.tti.com * Kill ALL bureaucrats! * 3100 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90405 +-----+ (OPINIONS EXPRESSED DO NOT REFLECT THE VIEWS OF CITICORP OR ITS MANAGEMENT!) _____ Date: Wed, 5 May 1993 03:08:40 GMT From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!concert!news-feed-1.peachnet.edu!nscf! lakes!jcox@network.UCSD.EDU Subject: Zed in callsign:what is it, where come from? To: info-hams@ucsd.edu > Z is hard to distinguish from B,C,D,E,G,P,T,& V when just spoken without any > phonetic. But saying "zulu" in place of Z each time is rather lengthy. > hams just use the French for the letter Z, which is zed. A lot of people who > have spent time in Europe also do an analagous thing when writing Z, by > putting a horizontal line through the letter to distinguish it from a 2. > steve - W3GRG dit dit

> mosier@iris.uncg.edu

Thanks Steve! I've been a ham since 1977 with this same call and never knew the story either. I also write the letter with the line through it, although I picked that up from a friend in high school.

73, John WD4PKZ

P.S. If you're REALLY good, you'll figure out some "cutesy" phonetics for my call for the local 2m repeater (I gave up years ago).

Date: Mon, 3 May 1993 23:17:53 EST

From: anomaly.sbs.com!mooch!news@uunet.uu.net

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <930502.151850.7V4.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>, <C6Fp4o.F2L@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <easu348.736406456@orion.oac.uci.edu>

Subject : Re: no-code defense

Unfortuanely this survey would leave out many of the long time HF amateurs who do not have access to the internet. I would like to see a poll, pertaining to no-code approval, of amateurs who were licensed BEFORE the no-code's inception. You might be surprised that it is not a majority of those hams who favored the no-code license. Including the no-codes in such a poll would alter a representation desired of those who were hams before DAY 1 of that license class. The existing no-codes would largely support a license class which of which they belong. The ARRL is too afraid to ever conduct such a poll. Maybe GALLUP would <grin>.

- -

Date: 5 May 93 01:44:00 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!cs.utexas.edu!tamsun.tamu.edu!

```
zeus.tamu.edu!tmk9855@network.UCSD.EDU
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
References <930429.171925.0U6.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>,
<lu377sINNifl@news.bbn.com>, <C6Fp4o.F2L@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
Subject : Re: no-code defense
>> system@garlic.sbs.com (Anthony S. Pelliccio) writes:
>>>
>>> Are you saying that the '"no-code" bashers' are a majority of hams?
>>Yes in fact, I am. Judging by the letters of support I've gotten for my
>>stance on dropping the code requirement I'd say there are alot of hams
>>opposed to messing with the structure. I just don't want to see the HF
>>bands become a free-for-all if all of a sudden, people who haven't put
          ^^^^^^<---Amen!
>>half the effort into it that I have, get access to the same spectrum.
>>That doesn't make me a happy camper.
Me neither...
>>Tony
>So, ladies and gentlemen, why don't we take an informal poll here on
>the net: give your callsign and state whether you support the no-code
>license or feel it was a bad idea. I'll start:
 I'm KJ5GU and I agree with Tony! (anti no-code license)
    It's not so much the "no-code technicians" that I don't like....It's
just the people that B&M and whine for ALL the privs with NONE of the effort.
    The HF bands are already crowded enough....the code requirement needs
to stay...I mean ...the technicians already have access to 50MHz and up! And
they can TALK there too.....and if they don't care to work to upgrade, they can
Stay there as far as I'm concerned.
     (Whine, whine, whine...blah, blah, blah..."...I want access to ALL the
bands with NO code requirement!"...)
    Btw...I achieved extra class at the ripe old age of 20! Almost exactly
2 years after my Novice!
Disclaimer: These opinions do not necessarily reflect those of Texas A&M
         University or of its affiliates.
```

If you'd like my name, vax command "fin" worked last time I checked......

Date: Mon, 3 May 1993 23:11:25 EST

From: anomaly.sbs.com!mooch!news@uunet.uu.net

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <lu377sINNifl@news.bbn.com>, <930502.151850.7V4.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>, <C6Fp4o.F2L@news.Hawaii.Edu>1 Subject : Re: no-code defense

jherman@uhunix.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

- > So, ladies and gentlemen, why don't we take an informal poll here on
- > the net: give your callsign and state whether you support the no-code
- > license or feel it was a bad idea. I'll start:

>

> I'm NH6IL and I'm against the no-code license.

In a fundamental sense it could have worked. But is hasn't in my opinion. The whiners finally got their way. It should have been just a digital license for 144 MHZ and up. Instead we have channel 19 and the like on two meters and up. So in it's present form I would have to say:

I'm NM1Z and I'm against the no-code license.

- -

Date: 5 May 93 03:41:10 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!pitt.edu!hpb.cis.pitt.edu!

hpb@network.UCSD.EDU To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <930502.151850.7V4.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>,

```
<C6Fp4o.F2L@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <930503.231125.2N3.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com>5
Subject : Re: no-code defense
In article <930503.231125.2N3.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com> system@mooch.sbs.com
(Christopher Ogren) writes:
>I'm NM1Z and I'm against the no-code license.
  I'm WA3TBL and I wish this dreadful discussion would move to
rec.radio.amateur.policy.
Harry Bloomberg WA3TBL
hpb+@pitt.edu
_____
Date: 4 May 93 16:38:33 GMT
From: news.bbn.com!levin@seismo.css.gov
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
References <930429.171925.0U6.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>,
<lu377sINNifl@news.bbn.com>, <930502.223633.5d6.rusnews.w165w@mooch.sbs.com>
Subject : Re: no-code defense
system@mooch.sbs.com (Christopher Ogren) writes:
I said:
|> system@garlic.sbs.com (Anthony S. Pelliccio) writes:
|> |Actually there's more than a minority. It's just that all this
|> |politically correct bullshit keeps some people from saying whats really
|> |on their minds. :)
|> Are you saying that the '"no-code" bashers' are a majority of hams?
|>
|> Puh-leeze.
|Well I would say that they are a majority of hams who have been licensed
|for more than a few years.
This is just as bad as Mr Pelliccio's generalization.
I don't think it's even close to a majority (maybe they generate a
majority of the noise, though) and you haven't got a single survey,
poll, or even a made-up statistic to justify that statement. (Well,
I'll bet you could come up with a made-up statistic.)
```

And no, I don't have any evidence that you're wrong. But I don't believe it, and I'll bet the 71% of licensed hams who would rather do radio than flame about it don't really care one way or the other.

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #540 **********